

COMPACT, ISOLATED HIGH-VELOCITY CLOUDS

W.B. BURTON

Leiden Observatory and National Radio Astronomy Observatory

R. BRAUN

Netherlands Foundation for Research in Astronomy

AND

V. DE HEIJ

Leiden Observatory

Abstract. We consider here the class of compact, isolated, high-velocity H I clouds, CHVCs, which are sharply bounded in angular extent with no kinematic or spatial connection to other H I features down to a limiting column density of $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We describe the automated search algorithm developed by de Heij et al. (2002a) and applied by them to the Leiden/Dwingeloo Survey north of $\delta = -28^\circ$ and by Putman et al. (2002) to the Parkes HIPASS data south of $\delta = 0^\circ$, resulting in an all-sky catalog numbering 246 CHVCs. We argue that these objects are more likely to represent a single phenomenon in a similar evolutionary state than would a sample which included any of the major HVC complexes. Five principal observables are defined for the CHVC population: (1) the spatial deployment of the objects on the sky, (2) the kinematic distribution, (3) the number distribution of observed H I column densities, (4) the number distribution of angular sizes, and (5) the number distribution of line widths. We show that the spatial and kinematic deployments of the ensemble of CHVCs contain various clues regarding their characteristic distance. These clues are not compatible with a location of the ensemble within the Galaxy proper. The deployments resemble in several regards those of the Local Group galaxies.

We describe a model testing the hypothesis that the CHVCs are a Local Group population. The agreement of the model with the data is judged by extracting the observables from simulations, in a manner consistent with the sensitivities of the observations and explicitly taking account of Galactic obscuration. We show that models in which the CHVCs are the H I counterparts of dark-matter halos evolving in the Local Group potential provide a good match to the observables, if account is taken of tidal and ram-pressure

disruption, the consequences of obscuration due to Galactic H I and of differing sensitivities and selection effects pertaining to the surveys.

A representative sample of CHVCs has been studied with high angular resolution (sub-arcminute) using the WSRT and with high N_{HI} sensitivity ($< 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) using the Arecibo telescope. The picture that emerges is a nested morphology of CNM cores shielded by WNM cocoons, and plausibly surrounded by a Warm Ionized Medium halo. These observations lead to indirect constraints on the distances, ranging from 150 to 850 kpc.

1. Introduction

H I structures found lying in the velocity regime harboring the high-velocity clouds manifest themselves in different forms; considered collectively, these clouds either do not represent a single astronomical phenomenon, or (more likely) do not represent the phenomenon at a single stage in its evolution, with all members seen under the same physical circumstances. The variety of positional and kinematic properties may correspond to differing evolutionary histories. The Magellanic Stream, for example, is identified as associated with the Large Magellanic Cloud because of positional and kinematic coincidences of part of the Stream with the LMC. It is composed of material either tidally stripped from the Milky Way or from the LMC itself, or gravitationally captured from elsewhere, but in any case constrained to follow the orbit of the LMC, and thus presumably lies at the LMC distance of some 50 kpc. There are several other major streams of HVCs, notably Complexes M, C, and A, which can also be traced over many tens of degrees and which, like the Magellanic Stream, individually show substantial spatial and kinematic coherence. The distance of only one complex has been bracketed with any certainty: absorption-line measurements (van Woerden et al. 1999, Wakker 2001) toward Complex A place it within the range $4 < d < 10$ kpc. The histories of the Magellanic Stream and of Complex A (and probably of the other large complexes as well) have been multifaceted, with exposure to strong radiation fields and tidal distortions by the Milky Way. Braun & Burton (1999; BB99) identified CHVCs as a subset of the anomalous-velocity gas which might be characteristic of a single class of objects, whose members plausibly originated under common circumstances and share a common subsequent evolutionary history. The discussion of the CHVCs hypothesized that the large HVC complexes (other than the Magellanic Stream) were once similar objects, but which now are relatively nearby.

We describe in § 2 the search algorithm that has been applied to the all-

sky coverage afforded by recent HI surveys of the northern and southern skies. In § 3 we show the principal observable quantities of the all-sky ensemble, and briefly remark on conclusions which follow directly from these observables. In § 4, we show that a model in which the CHVCs are the HI counterparts of dark-matter halos evolving in the Local Group potential provides a good match to the observables, but only if the simulation is sampled as if it were being observed with the sensitivities of the available observations and if explicit account is taken of obscuration by HI in the Galaxy. In § 5, we show WSRT and Arecibo high-resolution imaging of a selection of individual CHVCs, stressing the importance of the synthesis observations to study of the cold cores and of the single-dish observations to study of the diffuse halos. The high-resolution imaging supports several indications of substantial distances.

2. An all-sky CHVC catalog extracted from the LDS and HIPASS

Obtaining an adequate observational foundation for the anomalous-velocity HI clouds has been a persistent and continuing challenge. The BB99 sample was obtained by visual inspection of the Leiden/Dwingeloo Survey (LDS, Hartmann & Burton 1997). The LDS was observed with the 25-m Dwingeloo telescope, whose FWHM beam subtends $36'$, covering the sky north of $\delta = -30^\circ$ on a 0.5° grid. The effective velocity coverage of the LDS spans $-450 < V_{\text{LSR}} < +400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, resolved into spectral channels of 1.03 km s^{-1} width. The nominal brightness-temperature sensitivity is 0.07 K .

The Parkes All-Sky Survey (HIPASS, Barnes et al. 2001) advanced the observational foundations of HVC work. The HIPASS material was Nyquist sampled at the angular resolution of $15.5'$ afforded by the Parkes 64-m telescope, covering the sky south of $\delta = 0^\circ$ and reaching a 5σ RMS brightness-temperature sensitivity of approximately 50 mK . The spectra cover the range $-700 < V_{\text{LSR}} < +500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with channels separated by 26 km s^{-1} . The sensitivity, angular resolution, and extent of velocity coverage of the HIPASS material are superior to those of the LDS, but the velocity resolution and ability to recovery the most extended spatial structures of the LDS is superior to that of the HIPASS. We comment below on the inhomogeneities introduced in our all-sky CHVC catalog by the differing observational parameters of the two surveys.

An automated algorithm for extracting anomalous-velocity HI clouds from survey material has been developed by de Heij et al. (2002a), and applied by them to the LDS and by Putman et al. (2002) to the HIPASS. The algorithm sought objects isolated in position and in velocity, down to N_{HI} values below about $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Initial application of the algorithm resulted in a list of all objects satisfying the search criteria, and thus in-

cluded not only compact high-velocity clouds, but also structures that are part of HVC complexes, some intermediate-velocity features, and even the gaseous disk of our Galaxy, as well as features which were subsequently eliminated as due to excessive noise, radio interference, the non-square response of the receiver bandpass, or other imperfections in the data.

To avoid possible contamination from emission associated with our Galaxy and the intermediate-velocity complexes, all clouds which did not satisfy a deviation-velocity constraint were removed from the preliminary catalog. Wakker (1990) defined V_{DEV} as the excess velocity of a feature compared to velocities allowed by a simple model of our Galaxy. In order to constrain possible Milky Way contamination more accurately than would be possible with a constant value of V_{DEV} , we modified the definition, allowing the limiting velocity to vary with direction, in order to account for the warp of the Milky Way H I disk, for its flare to increasing thickness with increasing Galactocentric distance, and for its lopsidedness in plan view. The gas-layer model was derived from the Voskes & Burton (1999) analysis of combined southern and northern H I survey data. De Heij et al. (2002b) describe how discrimination against CHVCs in the “H I zone of avoidance” (which, unlike the optical counterpart, is velocity-dependent as well as position-dependent) influences the subsequent analysis: the swath of this zone of avoidance is distorted after coordinate transformation from the V_{LSR} to the V_{GSR} or V_{LGSR} frames, corresponding to the Galactic Standard of Rest or the Local Group Standard of Rest, respectively, which are shown by the kinematics to be more relevant to the CHVC ensemble.

The degree of isolation of the clouds extracted using the algorithm was determined from velocity-integrated images covering an area measuring 10° by 10° centered on the position of the cloud in question and spanning a matched velocity interval. An ellipse was fit to the contour with a value of half the maximum brightness of the cloud to allow tabulation of a characteristic size and orientation. The degree of isolation was assessed on the basis of the lowest significant contour level of N_{HI} commensurate with the data sensitivity, corresponding to about $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2}$ over the typical 25 km s^{-1} FWHM linewidth in both the LDS and HIPASS surveys. We demanded that this contour be closed, with its greatest radial extent less than the 10° by 10° image size, and that there not be confusion from any nearby extended emission near our cut-off column density. Both the degree of isolation and the total angular size (measured at our cut-off level) will therefore be dependent on data sensitivity. While unfortunate, this is an inevitable limitation of real data. A distinction was made between the cleanest isolated objects, CHVCs, and the somewhat ambiguous candidates, CHVC:s and CHVC?s, which had some degree of confusing emission in their 10° by 10° environment. While isolated objects as large as about 8° could have

been found by our algorithm, the distribution is strongly peaked with a median angular size of only 1° and a maximum FWHM of $2.^\circ 2$. This small median angular size motivates the use of the term “compact” in the object designation. It is not ruled out that some of the features which we tabulated as isolated in l, b, V space would be shown under scrutiny of more sensitive data to be embedded in a weaker envelope, or even to be part of a large, but relatively weak, complex or stream. And it is indeed expected that deeper observations will result in detection of additional CHVCs: the modelling discussed by de Heij et al. (2002b), and briefly summarized below, leads to specific predictions in this regard.

Independent confirmation was required for all of the CHVCs in the LDS portion of the all-sky catalog. The LDS is not free of a pernicious type of radio interference, which occasionally produced a broad, weak spectral feature, of the same general form as the signature of a high-velocity cloud, or of a nearby dwarf galaxy. This RFI was sometimes of short temporal duration, not long enough that a persistent telltale spoor in a single frequency band would reveal the signal as spurious. Confirmation is all the more important because the isolated objects being sought appear commonly at only a few LDS lattice points, or even at only one. Confirmation was not required in the HIPASS case, because that survey accumulated independent data in the multi-beam feeds. All of the LDS CHVCs were confirmed either from published data or from new observations made with the WSRT, operating in a total-power observing mode whereby auto-correlation spectra were obtained from all 14 individual 25-meter antennas, rather than the more usual cross-correlation spectra.

The all-sky catalog of CHVCs includes 67 found in the LDS at $\delta > 0^\circ$ by de Heij et al. (2002a) and 179 found in the HIPASS material at $\delta < 0^\circ$ by Putman et al. (2002). The data on CHVCs found by both surveys in the overlap zone $-30^\circ < \delta < 0^\circ$ are recorded in the all-sky catalog using the HIPASS parameters. The detection statistics in the overlap zone supports discussion of the relative completeness of the two surveys.

3. Principal morphological observables of the ensemble of CHVCs

3.1. OBSERVED SPATIAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE CHVCS

Figure 1 shows the distribution on the sky of the CHVCs entered in the combined LDS and HIPASS catalog. The CHVCs show no obvious tendency to cluster in streams or complexes. In particular, there is no preference in the sky distribution for accumulation near the Galactic equator, or towards the inner Galaxy, or in association with any of the known HVC complexes or with the Magellanic Stream. Unlike the situation pertaining for the principal HVC complexes, which show a substantially higher total H I flux in

Figure 1. Spatial deployment of CHVCs over the sky. Triangles represent the LDS sample cataloged by de Heij et al. (2002) at $\delta > 0^\circ$; diamonds represent the HIPASS sample of Putman et al. (2002a) at $\delta < 0^\circ$. Filled circles correspond to the galaxies comprising the Local Group, as tabulated by Mateo (1998). Red symbols indicate $V_{\text{LSR}} > 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; black ones, $V_{\text{LSR}} < 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The background greys show the H I column depths from an integration of observed temperatures over velocities ranging from $V_{\text{LSR}} = -450 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to $+400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but excluding emission with $V_{\text{DEV}} < 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The larger number of southern CHVCs, and specifically the apparent demarcation in the source densities at $\delta = 0^\circ$, are largely due to the differing responses of the two data sets, as discussed briefly in the text and reproduced by our modelling.

Figure 2. Kinematic deployment and perceived size- and column-depth distributions of the CHVC ensemble. These properties, together with the spatial deployment plotted in Fig. 1, constitute the principal observables of the ensemble. The upper two panels show the variation of V_{GSR} plotted against Galactic longitude, and against latitude, respectively. Triangles represent CHVCs identified at $\delta > 0^\circ$ in the LDS, and diamonds represent CHVCs found in the HIPASS; filled circles show the kinematic distribution of the Local Group galaxies listed by Mateo (1998). The histograms in the bottom row show the observed angular size distribution of the CHVC population, on the left, and the observed peak H I column-density distribution, on the right.

the northern Galactic hemisphere than in the southern, the CHVCs in the all-sky catalog are more numerous in the southern hemisphere than in the northern. The over-density in the southern hemisphere is due principally to the higher sensitivity of the HIPASS material compared to the LDS; but, as we mention briefly below and discuss in more detail in de Heij et al. (2002b), a slight enhancement remains after taking the observational parameters into account. The locations of Local Group galaxies as tabulated by Mateo (1998) are plotted in Fig. 1 as filled circles. Although the statistics are weak, to first order the sky deployment of CHVCs resembles that of the galaxies.

3.2. OBSERVED KINEMATIC DEPLOYMENT OF THE CHVCs

The longitude distribution of the CHVC motions, measured with respect to the GSR frame, is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2; the latitude distribution of V_{GSR} is shown in the middle panel. Also plotted is the velocity/longitude distribution of the galaxies in the Local Group. The CHVCs are confined within a definite kinematic envelope, narrower in extent than the spectral coverage of the surveys; we stress below that this confinement is not a selection effect.

The CHVC velocities can be considered in different reference frames. The mean velocity for the all-sky ensemble of CHVCs measured in the LSR frame is -45 km s^{-1} ; although not clearly centrally peaked, the dispersion of the V_{LSR} kinematics is formally 238 km s^{-1} . In the GSR frame, the mean

velocity decreases to -63 km s^{-1} , with a dispersion of 128 km s^{-1} ; in the Local Group Standard of Rest frame, the mean velocity is -60 km s^{-1} , with a dispersion of 112 km s^{-1} . The mean velocities of the 27 dwarf galaxies in the Local Group with known radial velocities tabulated by Mateo (1998) are -57 , -22 , and $+4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in the LSR, GSR, and LGSR reference frames, respectively, with the corresponding values of the dispersion being 196, 104, and 78 km s^{-1} . The net infall of the CHVC ensemble seems firmly established. Although the dispersion of CHVC velocities is formally somewhat less in the LGSR frame than in the GSR one, it will require more sensitive data before the significance of this difference can be judged.

Taken at face value, these kinematics are suggestive of a population which is bound to the Local Group, but which has not yet experienced significant interaction or merger with the larger members, which would virialize their motions. A mean radial infall velocity which is comparable to the velocity dispersion of the Local Group member galaxies, is plausible given that the same gravitational potential is responsible for both. Perhaps of relevance is the observation by Côté et al. (1997) that the faint dwarf galaxies in the two groups of galaxies nearest to the Local Group show a wider range of velocity distribution than the brighter members. An evolutionary history which is a function of mass may be a natural part of the galaxy formation process.

3.3. OBSERVED SIZE AND COLUMN DENSITY DISTRIBUTIONS

In addition to the spatial and kinematic distributions, the measured CHVC sizes, line widths, and column depths are also important observables against which interpretations must be judged. The distributions of CHVC sizes and column depths are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 2. Although the only limit on angular size we have imposed is the $10^\circ \times 10^\circ$ dimension of our initial N_{HI} image of each candidate, the size distribution is nevertheless strongly peaked with a median at 1° FWHM, and furthermore does not extend beyond 2.2° . Sharply bounded anomalous-velocity HI objects apparently are, indeed, compact. The distribution of velocity FWHM is strongly peaked at about 25 km s^{-1} , although the distribution does extend out to 100 km s^{-1} . Most CHVCs are spatially unresolved in the LDS beam, and many are unresolved in the HIPASS beam; although only some 20 CHVCs have been imaged at higher angular resolution, the indications are that the broadest widths are contributed by differing systemic velocities of several individual cores, each with a relatively narrow width, constituting a single CHVC.

3.4. COMMENTS ON SAMPLE COMPLETENESS AND HOMOGENEITY

Our CHVC tabulation is probably not incomplete as a consequence of the velocity range limits of the observations. Although the LDS was searched only over the range $-450 < V_{\text{LSR}} < +350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, there are indications that we did not miss many (if any) clouds because of this limited interval. The feature with the most extreme negative velocity yet found is CHVC 110.6–07.0–466, discovered by Hulsbosch (1978); the Wakker & van Woerden (1991) tabulation, which relied on survey data covering the range $-900 < V_{\text{LSR}} < +750 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, found no high-velocity cloud at a more negative velocity. The HIPASS search by Putman et al. (2002) sought anomalous-velocity emission over the range $-700 < V_{\text{LSR}} < +1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Ten of the CHVCs cataloged by Putman et al. have $V_{\text{LSR}} < -300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but the most extreme negative velocity is only -353 km s^{-1} , for CHVC 125.1–66.4–353.

In terms of the Local Group deployment variously discussed by Blitz et al. (1999), BB99, Putman & Moore (2002), and deHeij et al. (2002b), the most extreme negative velocities would be found in the general region of the barycenter of the Local Group, whereas the most extreme positive LSR velocities, which would also be more modest in amplitude than the extreme negative velocities, would be expected in the general region of the Local Group anti-barycenter. Only 7 of the HIPASS CHVCs have V_{LSR} greater than $+300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and only one has a velocity greater than 350 km s^{-1} , namely CHVC 258.2–23.9+359. All of the 7 CHVCs with substantial positive velocities lie deep in the third longitude quadrant, or in the early fourth, and thus in the general vicinity of the anti-barycenter.

In view of these detection statistics, it seems unlikely that the velocity-range limits of either the LDS or of the HIPASS have caused a significant number of CHVCs to be missed. Thus, the true velocity extent, as well as the non-zero mean in the LSR frame, of the anomalous-velocity ensemble appear well represented by the extrema of -466 km s^{-1} and $+359 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

It is appropriate to comment on certain selection effects which might cause systematic distortions to the true distribution of CHVCs. A demarkation at $\delta = 0^\circ$ separates the CHVC catalog entries based on the LDS from those based on HIPASS. The weaker sensitivity of the LDS is likely to have discriminated against some CHVCs, in particular those at positive velocities: the color-coding of CHVC velocities shown in Fig. 1 indicates that more positive-velocity objects are expected in Galactic quadrant II than in the other quadrants. Quadrant II is almost exclusively LDS's territory, not HIPASS's, and is therefore prejudiced by the weaker sensitivity of the LDS. There is also a mild asymmetric discrimination against detecting CHVCs due to their submersion in the “HI zone of avoidance”, i.e. near $V_{\text{LSR}} = 0 \text{ km/s}$, with the velocity discrimination slightly skewed to positive

V_{GSR} -values in the GSR frame, as discussed by de Heij et al. (2002b).

If the HIPASS and LDS CHVC (or HVC) catalogs are compared or if they are used together, for example to investigate the all-sky properties of the CHVC ensemble, then due attention should be given to the higher expected detection rate in the southern material, because of its greater sensitivity and denser angular sampling. To correct for the higher detection rate in the south, de Heij et al. (2002b) considered the likelihood that a given cloud which is observed in the HIPASS data also will be observed in the LDS. This issue could be directly confronted, since the two surveys overlap in the declination range $-28^\circ \leq \delta \leq +2^\circ$. Comparison of the results derived by applying the search algorithm to both surveys allows assessment of the robustness of the selection criteria and of the completeness of the LDS catalog.

The HIPASS RMS noise figure is 10 mK, for a channel 26 km s^{-1} wide and a FWHP beam of $15'$; the corresponding LDS RMS value is 70 mK, for a channel width of 1.03 km s^{-1} and a FWHP beam of $36'$. After smoothing both surveys to the same spectral resolution of 26 km s^{-1} , the 3σ limiting column density (for emission filling each beam) is 0.47 and $0.64 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the HIPASS and LDS, respectively. Thus, while the sensitivity to well-resolved sources is comparable, the point-source sensitivity of HIPASS is greater by about a factor of 3. On the other hand, the band-pass calibration of the HIPASS data relies on reference spectra, deemed empty of H I emission, that are offset by only a few degrees on the sky. Even with the MINMED5 method of baseline determination employed by Putman et al., there is significant filtering of extended emission, which complicates the assessment of object isolation down to a low column density limit.

The velocity resolution of the Leiden/Dwingeloo survey is 1.03 km s^{-1} , and this resolution should suffice, as all known CHVCs have broader velocity widths. Although the FWHM of most of the CHVCs tabulated here is greater than 20 km/s, a few are considerable narrower, and it is not unexpected that some would be missing from the HIPASS compilation because they are diluted by the relatively coarse HIPASS channel spacing.

The differences in point-source sensitivity on the one hand and sensitivity to very extended structures on the other, results in a larger number of faint-source detections in HIPASS, but also in a different designation for some of the brighter clouds which the surveys have in common. Comparison of the results derived by applying the search algorithm to both surveys allows assessment of the robustness of the selection criteria and of the completeness of the LDS catalog. The comparison discussed by de Heij et al. (2002b) shows the influence of survey sensitivity on the number of objects found. Above a peak temperature of 0.45 K, the LDS results are as complete as those based on HIPASS; between $T_{\text{peak}} = 0.20 \text{ K}$ and 0.45 K, the

LDS results recovered 83% of the clouds found in the HIPASS data. The completeness of the LDS catalog drops rapidly at lower values of the peak temperature: HIPASS clouds less bright than 0.20 K are almost completely absent from the LDS catalog. The incompleteness at low peak temperatures will be more important for very compact CHVCs. The temperatures and therefore sensitivities were measured at the grid points of the survey; point sources that are not located at a grid point will have been observed with a reduced sensitivity, which depends on the telescope beam and the distance to the nearest grid point. The LDS sampled the sky on a 0.5° lattice; the sensitivity away from the grid points falls off as a Gaussian with a FWHP of $36'$. The small sizes of the CHVCs and the less-than-Nyquist sampling interval of the LDS can conspire to result in an observation with a lower signal-to-noise ratio in the LDS than in the HIPASS.

3.5. INITIAL CONCLUSIONS FROM THE ENSEMBLE OBSERVABLES

Some general conclusions may be drawn from an initial inspection of the all-sky CHVC catalog. We noted above the roughly even distribution over the sky, the constrained kinematics within a definite envelope, the net infall towards the Galaxy, and the substantially smaller velocity dispersion in the GSR and LGSF frames than in the LSR one.

The characteristic N_{HI} shown in Fig. 2 is also of interest. The significance of H I features which are isolated in column density down to a level as low as $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, is that this is about an order of magnitude lower than the critical column density identified at the edges of nearby galaxies (Maloney 1993, Corbelli & Salpeter 1993), $\sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, where the ionized fraction is thought to increase dramatically due to the extragalactic radiation field. These isolated objects will need to provide their own shielding to ionizing radiation. In this case, their small median angular size, of about 1° FWHM, is consistent with substantial distances, since the partially ionized H I skin in a power-law ionizing photon field has a typical exponential scale-length of 1 kpc (Corbelli & Salpeter 1993).

The entries in the CHVC catalog show spectral FWHM values ranging from 5.9 km/s, characteristic of a very narrow, cold H I feature in the conventional gaseous disk, to 95.4 km/s, characteristic of some external galaxies. Interferometric observations of some of the broad objects have shown that the large width is contributed by individual cores within a common envelope. But the distinction between the H I properties of CHVCs and dwarf galaxies with very weak star formation, or proto-galaxies with no star formation, remains to be made. The broadest object found in the BB99 search for CHVCs was revealed as a low-surface-brightness spiral galaxy (Burton et al. 1998). Warned by the presence this interloper, we searched the Digital

Sky Survey in the direction of each of the CHVCs listed in the LDS portion of the catalog, but found no optical counterparts to the objects listed; a recent deeper search by Simon & Blitz (2002) also found no optical counterparts. The narrowest widths, even without higher-resolution imaging, serve to meaningfully constrain the kinetic temperature of the gas, as well as the line-of-sight component of any rotation or shear in a single object, and the range of kinematics if the object should be an unresolved collection of subunits moving at different velocities.

CHVCs near the Galactic equator display the horizontal component of their space motion. The middle panel of Fig. 2 shows that the radial motions at low $|b|$ are as large as those at high latitudes, and furthermore that the CHVC distribution does not avoid the Galactic equator. Large horizontal motions are difficult to account for in terms of a Galactic fountain (Shapiro & Field 1976, Bregman 1980). Burton (1997) has noted that anomalous-velocity clouds do not contaminate the H I terminal-velocity locus in ways which would be expected if they pervaded the Galactic disk, and that this observation constrains the clouds either to be an uncommon component of the Milky Way disk, confined to the immediate vicinity of the Sun, or else to be typically at large distances beyond the Milky Way disk. We note also that the lines of sight in the directions of each of the low- $|b|$ CHVCs traverse some tens of kpc of the disk before exiting the Milky Way: unless one is prepared to accept these CHVCs as boring through the conventional disk at hypersonic speeds (for which there is no evidence), and atypical in view of the cleanliness of the terminal-velocity locus, then their distances are constrained to be large.

Similarly, CHVCs located near the Galactic poles offer unambiguous information on the vertical, z , component of their space motion. The vertical motions are substantial, with recession velocities approximately equal in number and amplitude to approach velocities; the vertical motions are, furthermore, of approximately the same amplitude as the horizontal ones. This situation also is incompatible with the precepts of the fountain model, which predicts negative z -velocities, for material returning in a fountain flow. Furthermore, the values of the z -velocities are predicted not to exceed the velocity of free fall, of some 200 km s^{-1} . In fact, vertical motions of twice the free fall value are observed. We note that some of the CHVC objects are even moving with velocities in excess of a plausible value of the Milky Way escape velocity (cf. Oort 1926).

The aspects of the spatial and kinematic topology of the class mentioned above are difficult to account for if the CHVCs are viewed as a Milky Way population, in particular if they are viewed as consequences of a Galactic fountain (see also Blitz 2001). These same aspects would seem to discourage revival of several of the earlier Milky Way mechanisms (reviewed, for exam-

Figure 3. left panel: Average velocity field in the Local Group model of CHVC deploment described in the text. The Milky Way is located at $x = -0.47$ Mpc, $y = 0.0$ Mpc, and M31 at $x = 0.23$ Mpc, $y = 0.0$ Mpc. The distribution of test particles representing the CHVCs is given by two Gaussian functions, one centered on the Milky Way and the other on M31; the contours show the space density of the CHVC test particles. The vectors give the average velocity of test particles in a 10×10 kpc box centered on each gridpoint. (The length of the thick-line vector in the upper left corner corresponds to 200 km s^{-1} .) Squares are drawn if the velocity dispersion of the particles within the box exceeds 100 km s^{-1} . *right panel:* Distribution of synthetic CHVCs shown in an (x, y) projection, for a realization of a Local Group simulation corresponding to the parameters described in the text. The Galaxy is located at the origin; crosshairs locate M31. A symbol marks the location of each of the input CHVCs entering the simulation. But not all of the input clouds survive the simulated environment: black symbols indicate those input clouds which are destroyed by tidal and ram-pressure stripping influences of M31 and the Galaxy. Furthermore, not all surviving input clouds are sufficiently intense to be detected by surveys with the properties of the LDS or HIPASS: grey symbols indicate surviving input clouds which would be too weak to be detected by the LDS or by HIPASS, respectively, depending on their declination. Red symbols indicate those input clouds which would be perceived as CHVCs if the simulation were sampled as if by the LDS and HIPASS.

ple, by Oort 1966) suggested for the general HVC phenomenon, including ejection from the Galactic nucleus, association with a Galactic spiral arm at high latitude, and ejection following a nearby supernova explosion.

4. The CHVC ensemble modeled as a Local Group population, with the model sampled as if observed

The spatial and kinematic distributions of the all-sky CHVC ensemble are consistent with a dynamically cold population spread throughout the Local Group, but with a net negative velocity with respect to the mean of the Local Group galaxies. BB99 suggested that the CHVCs represent the dark matter halos predicted by Klypin et al. (1999) and Moore et al. (1999) in the context of the hierarchical structure paradigm of galactic evolution. These halos would contain no stars, or only a few; most of their visible matter would be in the form of atomic hydrogen. Although many of the halos would already have been accreted by the Galaxy or M31, some would still populate the Local Group, either located in the far field or concentrated around the two dominate Local Group galaxies. Those passing close to either the Milky Way or M31 would be ram-pressure stripped of their gas and tidally disrupted by the gravitational field. Near the Milky Way, the tidally distorted features would correspond to the HVC complexes observed.

4.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE LOCAL GROUP MODEL

Recently several simulations have been performed to test the hypothesis that the CHVCs are the remaining building blocks of the Local Group. Putman & Moore (2002) compared the results of the full n-body simulation described by Moore et al. (2001) with various spatial and kinematic properties of the CHVC distribution, as well as with properties of the more general HVC phenomenon, without regard to object size and degree of isolation. Putman & Moore were led to reject the Local Group deployment of CHVCs, for reasons which we debate below. Blitz et al. (1999) had earlier performed a restricted two-body analysis of the motion of clouds in the Local Group. In their attempt to model the HVC distributions, Blitz et al. modeled the dynamics of dark matter halos in the Local Group and found support for the Local Group hypothesis when compared qualitatively with the deployment of a sample of anomalous-velocity HI containing most HVCs, but excluding the large complexes and the Magellanic Stream, for which plausible or measured distance constraints are available.

DeHeij et al. (2002b) followed the modeling approach of Blitz et al., but judge the results of their simulations against the properties of the all-sky CHVC sample, viewing the simulated data *as if it were observed* with the LDS and HIPASS surveys. A simulation was run for each set of model parameters. We chose a position for each object, in agreement with the spatial density distribution of the ensemble, but otherwise randomly. The velocity was given by the velocity field illustrated in Fig. 3. The HI mass of a test cloud was randomly set in agreement with a power-law mass distribution between a specified upper mass limit and a lower mass limit. The physical size and line width of each object follow from the choice of the power-law index, β . Once all these parameters were set, we determined the observed peak column density and angular size. Objects in the northern hemisphere were convolved with a beam appropriate to the LDS, while those at $\delta < 0^\circ$ were convolved with the HIPASS beam. Simulated objects were considered detected if the peak brightness temperature exceeded the detection threshold of the relevant survey, i.e. the LDS for objects at $\delta > 0^\circ$, and HIPASS otherwise. Furthermore, in order for a test object to be retained as detected its deviation velocity was required to exceed 70 km s^{-1} in the LSR frame. In addition, each simulated cloud was judged whether or not it was stable against both tidal disruption and ram-pressure stripping by the Milky Way and M31; if not, it was removed from further consideration. A cloud was regarded stable against ram-pressure stripping if the gas pressure at its center exceeded the ram pressure, $P_{\text{ram}} = n_{\text{halo}} \cdot V^2$, for a cloud moving with velocity V through a gaseous halo with density n_{halo} . A cloud was considered tidally disrupted if the gravitational tidal field of either the

Figure 4. Sky deployment of synthetic CHVCs corresponding to the simulation of a population in the Local Group with the parameters described in the text. Black dots correspond to input clouds which would not enter a catalog of CHVCs based on the LDS or HIPASS data, either because the input clouds have been destroyed (tidally, or by ram-pressure stripping) as a consequence of their proximity to M 31 or to the Galaxy, or else because their perceived flux would be below the detection thresholds of the LDS or HIPASS, depending on their declination. Red symbols indicate clouds which would be detected were the simulation sampled as if by the LDS or HIPASS. The background grey image, drawn as in Fig. 2, shows the demarcation between the lower sensitivity LDS regime and the higher sensitivity HIPASS regime. Many of the input clouds are clustered around M 31 and the region of the barycenter of the Local Group, and lie thus in the northern regime of the sky observed by the LDS where the simulated observations are relatively less sensitive. The magnitude of the over-density of red dots in the southern hemisphere does not reflect the true distribution of input CHVCs, but the combined effects of the simulated physical environment and the observational parameters of the LDS and HIPASS surveys. The sky deployment of the simulated CHVC population can be compared with the observed situation shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 5. Kinematic deployment and perceived size- and column-depth distributions of the simulated CHVC ensemble in the Local Group, plotted for comparison with the observed distributions shown in Fig. 2. The two upper panels show the variation of V_{LSR} with Galactic longitude and latitude, respectively. Black symbols refer to input clouds which do not survive in the Local Group environment or which do not have sufficient flux to be detected by the Leiden/Dwingeloo or Parkes surveys; red symbols indicate clouds which would be detected if the input ensemble were sampled with the observational parameters characterizing the LDS and HIPASS data. The two panels in the bottom row show the perceived angular size distribution of the simulated CHVC population, on the left, and the peak N_{HI} distribution which is perceived in the simulation, on the right.

Galaxy or M 31 exceeded the self-gravity of the cloud at its location. We continued simulating additional objects following this prescription until the number of detected model clouds was equal to the number of CHVCs in our observed all-sky sample.

The best-fitting populations found by deHeij et al. have a maximum HI mass of $10^7 M_{\odot}$, a slope of the HI mass distribution in the range -1.7 to -1.8 , and a Gaussian dispersion for their spatial distributions of between 150 and 200 kpc centered on both the Milky Way and M 31. Given its greater mean distance, only a small fraction of the M 31 sub-population is predicted to have been detected in present surveys.

4.2. SIMULATED CHVC DISTRIBUTIONS, PERCEIVED AS IF OBSERVED

An appreciation of the physical appearance of these Local Group models is provided by the righthand panel of Fig. 3, showing a perpendicular projection of the model population. The (x, y) plane in the figure is the extended Galactic plane, with the Galaxy centered at $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ with the positive z axis corresponding to positive b . The intrinsic distribution of objects is

an elongated cloud encompassing both the Galaxy and M31, dominated in number by the M31 concentration. The objects that have at some point in their history approached so closely to either of these galaxies that their HI would not survive the ram-pressure or tidal stripping are indicated by the filled black circles. Cloud disruption was substantially more important in the M31 concentration than in the Galaxy concentration. The objects that are too faint to have been detected by the LDS or HIPASS observations, depending on declination, are indicated by grey circles. *The bulk of the M31 sub-concentration is not detected in our CHVC simulation for two reasons:* (1) these objects have a larger average distance than the objects in the Galactic sub-concentration, and (2) the M31 sub-concentration is located primarily in the northern celestial hemisphere, where the lower LDS sensitivity compromises detection. The objections posed by Putman & Moore (2002) to the Local Group deployment models, based on the failure to observe a clustering of CHVCs near M31 or the Local Group barycenter, seem accounted for by the crucial role of survey sensitivity in determining what is seen of such Local Group cloud populations. The red symbols in Figures 4 and 5 indicate objects detectable with the relevant LDS or HIPASS sensitivities, while the black symbols indicate those that remain undetected. The LDS, in particular, is not sufficiently sensitive to have detected the majority of CHVCs at distances of more than a few hundred kpc.

The various processes which influence the perceived distributions are further quantified by deHeij et al. (2002b). About three quarters of the simulated populations were classified as disrupted due to ram-pressure or tidal stripping, while 80% of the remaining objects were deemed too faint to detect with the LDS (in the north) or HIPASS (in the south). Obscuration by Galactic HI eliminated about half of the otherwise detectable objects. The total HI mass involved in the model populations shown here is $4.3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$. About 75% of this mass had already been consumed by M31 and the Galaxy via cloud disruption, leaving only 25% still in circulation, although distributed over some 1200 low-mass objects.

If the deHeij et al. models describe the actual distribution of CHVCs, then the prediction follows that future deeper surveys will detect large numbers of objects at high negative LSR velocities in the general vicinity (about $60 \times 60^{\circ}$) of M31. To make this prediction more specific, we have imagined the sensitivity afforded by the current HIPASS survey in the south extended to the entire northern hemisphere. A high concentration of about 250 faint newly detected CHVCs is predicted in the Local Group barycenter direction once HIPASS sensitivity is available, for example from the current Jodrell Bank HIJASS effort.

One of the most suggestive attributes of the CHVC population in favor

of a Local Group deployment is the modest concentration of objects which are currently detected in the general direction of M 31, i.e. near the direction of barycenter of the Local Group. These objects have extreme negative velocities in the GSR reference frame. While this is a natural consequence of the Local Group models it does not follow from models simulating a distribution throughout an extended Galactic halo, nor is it a consequence of obscuration by Galactic H I.

The choice of a limiting mass of $M_{\text{HI}}=3\times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ over a linewidth of 35 km s^{-1} was made in the simulation portrayed here in order to represent what might be possible for a deep H I survey of an external galaxy group. In this example, some 188 objects occur which exceed this mass limit distributed over a region of some $1.5\times 1.0 \text{ Mpc}$ extent. For a limiting mass of $M_{\text{HI}}=7\times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ over 35 km s^{-1} , the number drops to 50. It is clear that a very good mass sensitivity will be essential to detecting such potential CHVC populations in external galaxy groups. Current searches for such populations, reviewed by Braun & Burton (2001), have generally not reached a sensitivity as good as even $M_{\text{HI}}=10^7 M_{\odot}$ over 35 km s^{-1} , so it is no surprise that such distant CHVCs have not yet been detected.

5. Properties of individual CHVCs imaged at high resolution

The all-sky CHVC catalog is based on survey data of modest angular resolutions: most CHVCs are largely unresolved by the $36'$ resolution of the Dwingeloo telescope, undersampling on a 0.5° lattice, although some details in individual CHVCs are revealed by the $14'$ resolution of the Nyquist-sampled HIPASS material. Wakker & Schwarz (1991) had used the WSRT to image two CHVCs; subsequently Braun & Burton (2000) imaged six additional CHVC fields, and deHeij et al. (2002c) six more. The angular and kinematic resolution afforded by the WSRT suit it well in important regards to detailed studies of the CHVC class of objects. Diffuse structures extending over more than about $10'$ are, however, not adequately imaged by the interferometer unless precautions are taken to eliminate the short-spacing bowl surrounding regions of bright extended emission. Burton et al. (2001) observed several of the WSRT CHVC fields with the Arecibo telescope, and showed that a combination of high-resolution filled-aperture and synthesis data is crucial to determining the intrinsic properties of the CHVCs. This is especially important for CHVC targets which are of a size comparable to the field of view of most synthesis instruments.

5.1. NESTED CORE/HALO MORPHOLOGY

The CHVCs imaged with the WSRT at resolutions of order $1'$ show a characteristic morphology whereby one or more low-dispersion (FWHM line

Figure 6. Nested core/halo morphology which characterizes those few CHVCs which have been observed at high resolution by both interferometric and filled-aperture instruments. The two left-hand panels show CHVC 186+19–114 as seen with the WSRT and Arecibo respectively; the right-hand one an overlay for CHVC 230+61+165 with contours showing Arecibo N_{HI} data; greyscale for the WSRT data. The cores are better revealed in synthesis data than in filled-aperture data because of their small angular scales; the halos, on the other hand, are better revealed in filled-aperture data than in synthesis data because of their diffuse morphology and large angular scales. Typically only a few tenths of the total flux observed in a single-dish spectrum is recovered in synthesis data.

widths in the range 2 to 10 km s^{-1}) cores are distributed over an extent of some tens of arcmin: the larger FWHM presented by the single-dish spectra reflects the differing systemic velocities of the cores, but is dominated by the intrinsically large velocity dispersion of the diffuse gas comprising the halo. Figure 6 shows combined WSRT and Arecibo data for two CHVCs. The left-hand panel shows that CHVC 186+19–114 comprises some half-dozen cores, embedded in a common halo. The unresolved FWHM of 20 km s^{-1} shown by the single-dish spectrum represents the diffuse halo gas as well as modest differences in the systemic velocities of the narrow-dispersion cores. The right-hand panel shows a similar situation for CHVC 230+61+165: several cores, resolved in the WSRT data, are embedded in a common diffuse envelope seen in the Arecibo observations, with a FWHM of 26 km s^{-1} , well represented by a single Gaussian.

The combined WSRT/Arecibo data thus indicate a morphology of one or more cores in the Cool Neutral Medium phase, surrounded by a diffuse halo of Warm Neutral Medium. (Indications of such a core/halo morphology were found earlier in some extended HVCs, e.g. by Giovanelli et al. (1981) in detailed H I mapping using the Green Bank 300-foot telescope.) The FWHM line width of the CHVC halo gas is typically 25 km s^{-1} , consistent with the expected WNM thermal line width of 10^4 K gas. The FWHM of the cores is consistent with CNM temperatures of order 100 – 500 K. Some of the cores exhibit a kinematic gradient, consistent with rotation. The halos evidently do not share the kinematic gradients of the cores, however, implying that an external origin of the core gradient, such as tidal shear, for example, is unlikely.

The Arecibo data have also shown that the halo edge profiles are exponential, and can be followed to the limiting N_{HI} sensitivity of about $2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This exponential form implies that measurements of apparent angular sizes and total flux densities of CHVC halos will depend on the resolution as well as on the sensitivity of the data; larger surface covering factors will be returned by deeper observations (see Lockman et al. 2002). The exponential edges also suggest that the outer envelopes of CHVCs are not tidally truncated, consistent with the objects residing at substantial

Figure 7. *left panel:* Overlay of WSRT and Arecibo N_{HI} data for CHVC 204+30+075, showing several cores embedded in a common diffuse halo. Seen unresolved in the $36'$ beam of the 25-m Dwingeloo telescope, this source has a velocity FWHM of 34 km s^{-1} . *upper right:* Intensity-weighted line-of-sight velocity, derived from WSRT data at an angular resolution of $1'$. Contours of V_{LSR} show systematic kinematic gradients across the two principal components of the CHVC, consistent with rotation; contours are drawn in steps of 5 km s^{-1} from 40 to 85 km s^{-1} . *lower right:* Rotation velocities resulting from the tilted-ring model applied to the kinematic gradient of the lower-declination component of CHVC 204+30+075. The best-fit position, inclination, and systemic velocity are indicated. The solid line shows the rotation curve corresponding to a Navarro et al. (1997) CDM halo of the indicated mass.

distances from the Milky Way. The sources studied by Burton et al. (2001) indicate a characteristic central halo column density of $4.1 \pm 3.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and a characteristic exponential scalelength of $420 \pm 90 \text{ arcsec}$. For plausible values of the thermal pressure at the core/halo interface, these edge profiles support distance estimates which range between 150 and 850 kpc. Similar distances are indicated by simply equating the observed neutral scalelengths with those predicted to occur at the edges of low mass galactic disks of about 1 kpc (Corbelli and Salpeter 1993) over a wide range of physical conditions.

5.2. ROTATION OF CORES

Under higher angular resolution than afforded by the LDS or HIPASS, the characteristic linewidth of individual CHVC components narrows as objects are resolved into several principal units, moving relative to each other. If the objects with multiple cores are to be stable, distances of order several hundred kpc are required. Some of the compact cores imaged owe their large total width seen in single-dish data to velocity gradients. Several of the CHVC cores resolved with the WSRT exhibit kinematic gradients consistent with rotation. Figure 7 shows that the resolved WSRT image of CHVC 204+30+075 has two principal components, each of which is elongated and shows, furthermore, a systematic velocity gradient along the major axes. The velocity gradients exhibited by the two principal components of CHVC 204+30+075 can be modelled in terms of circular rotation in a flattened disk system. Figure 7 shows the results of fitting a standard tilted-ring model to one of the cores. The fit shows velocity rising slowly but continuously with radius to an amplitude of some 20 km s^{-1} , and then flattening to a constant value beyond about 500 or 600 arcsec. Estimates of the contained dynamical mass follow from the rotation curve if the distance is assumed, and the total gas mass follows from the integrated H I flux. At an assumed distance of 0.7 Mpc, the two principal clumps of CHVC 204+30+075 have $M_{\text{dyn}} = 10^{8.1}$ and $10^{8.3} M_{\odot}$, and gas masses (including H I and helium

Figure 8. *upper panel:* WSRT image of CHVC 125 + 41 – 207 displaying the N_{HI} distribution at $28''$ angular resolution. The Seyfert galaxy Mrk 205 lies on a line of sight which penetrates the halo of the CHVC, reconstructed using the composite WSRT and LDS single-dish data. *lower left:* H I spectrum observed in the direction (indicated in the upper panel) of one of the bright emission knots. The spectrum is unresolved at a channel separation of 2 km s^{-1} , indicating a core temperature of less than 85 K and quiescent turbulence. *lower right:* Equilibrium temperature curves for H I in an intergalactic environment (with a metallicity of 0.1 solar and a dust-to-gas mass ratio of 0.1 times that characteristic of the solar neighborhood), shown for two values of the neutral shielding column density, namely 10^{19} cm^{-2} (solid line) and 10^{20} cm^{-2} (dashed line). The 85 K kinetic temperature tightly constrains the volume density; a distance of order 500 kpc then follows from the measured column density and angular size.

of 40% by mass) of $10^{6.5}$ and $10^{6.9} M_{\odot}$, respectively for the upper and lower concentrations shown in Fig. 7. The dark-to-visible mass ratios for these concentrations are 36 and 29, respectively. The shape of the modelled rotation curves for both of the CHVC 204+30+075 components resembles that of the standard cold-dark-matter halo as presented by Navarro et al. (1997). At the assumed distance of 0.7 Mpc, the Navarro et al. halos fit to the two components have masses of $10^{7.8} M_{\odot}$ (within 9.3 kpc) and $10^{8.2} M_{\odot}$ (within 12.6 kpc), respectively.

5.3. A VERY COLD CORE

CHVC 125+41–207 is representative of the compact objects in several regards. The WSRT image of CHVC 125+41–207 observed by Braun & Burton (2000) and shown in Fig. 8 reveals several cool, quiescent cores embedded in a diffuse, warmer halo. The spectrum plotted in the lower left of the figure was observed towards the brightest of these cores: it has a line width unresolved by the 2 km s^{-1} resolution of the WSRT imaging. The velocity channels adjacent to the line peak have intensities below 20% of the maximum value. Such a width is one of the narrowest measured in H I emission — H I line widths are almost always dominated by line blending and mass motions — and constrains both the kinetic temperature and the amount of turbulence within the core. An upper limit to the thermal-broadening FWHM of 2 km s^{-1} corresponds to an upper limit to the kinetic temperature of 85 K. As it happens, the physical situation is yet more tightly constrained, because the brightness temperature in this core was observed to be 75 K; thus a lower limit to the opacity follows from $T_{\text{b}} = T_{\text{k}}(1 - e^{-1})$, yielding $\tau \geq 2$. Any broadening which might be due to macroscopic turbulence is less than 1 km s^{-1} . (Such quiescence would be most unusual in a Milky Way environment.)

A fortunate, albeit fortuitous, property of this CHVC is that it lies near the direction of the Seyfert galaxy Mrk 205. (In general, the small angular

size of CHVCs, and the amount of substructure being revealed at high resolution, will render it difficult to find suitable background sources to support metallicity measurements.) Bowen & Blades (1993) measured Mg II absorption towards Mrk 205, finding a metallicity substantially subsolar. Wolfire et al. (1995) show that a cool H I phase is stable under extragalactic conditions if a sufficient column of shielding gas is present and if the thermal pressure is high. Calculations of equilibrium conditions which would pertain in the Local Group environment characterized by a metallicity of 10% of the solar value and a dust-to-gas ratio of 10% of that in the solar neighborhood, were communicated to us by Wolfire, Sternberg, Hollenbach, and McKee. The tightly-constrained temperature found for CHVC 125+41–207 then allows an estimate of the distance to this object. Figure 8 shows two bracketing values of the shielding column density, namely 10^{19} cm^{-2} and 10^{20} cm^{-2} . The equilibrium volume densities corresponding to the observed value of $T_k = 85 \text{ K}$ lie in the range 0.65 to 3.5 cm^{-3} . Provided with this range of volume densities, and having measured both the column depth of the cool core and its angular size, the distance to CHVC 125+41–207 follows directly from $D = N_{\text{HI}}/(n_{\text{HI}}/\theta)$, yielding a value in the range 210 to 1100 kpc.

6. Concluding remarks

The concept of a distinct class of compact, isolated high-velocity clouds has been objectively developed by application of a search algorithm to the LDS and HIPASS datasets, resulting in an all-sky catalog of CHVCs, high-contrast H I features which are at best only marginally resolved with half-degree angular resolution and which are sharply bounded, such that emission at column densities above a few times 10^{18} cm^{-2} is unconfused by extended emission in the source environment. We identify five principal observables from the all-sky catalog: the spatial and kinematic deployments, and the number distributions of angular sizes, N_{HI} , and linewidth. We show that agreement of models with the data must be judged by extracting these same observables from the simulations in a manner consistent with the sensitivities of the observations, and explicitly taking into account the limitations imposed by obscuration due to foreground H I in the Milky Way. General considerations of these observables show compatibility with characteristic distance scales of hundreds of kpc.

Since the inception of high-velocity cloud research, the possibility of an extragalactic deployment of these clouds has been critically considered as a possibility; among others, the discussions by Oort (1966, 1970, 1981), Verschuur (1969, 1975), Giovanelli (1981), Bajaja, Morras, & Pöppel (1987), Wakker & van Woerden (1997), Blitz et al. (1999), and BB99 are particu-

larly relevant here. Our simulations of a Local Group population of CHVCs have accounted explicitly for the obscuration resulting from the foreground Galactic H I and the observational attributes of the existing survey material. We have shown that models in which the CHVCs are the H I counterparts of dark-matter halos evolving in the Local Group can provide a good match to the observables, if the simulations are “observed” in accordance with the LDS and HIPASS parameters.

High-resolution imaging confirms the nested core/halo geometry expected if the CNM is to be stable in the presence of an ionizing radiation field of the sort expected in the Local Group environment. The cores contribute typically about 40% of the H I flux, while covering about 15% of the surface of the CHVC. The imaging also allows supports two independent distance estimates. A distance for CHVC 125+41–207 follows from assuming rough spherical symmetry and equating the well-constrained volume and column densities of the compact cores. Another distance constraint (coupled with a dark-to-visible mass ratio) follows from consideration of the stability of CHVCs having multiple cores in a common envelope but having large relative velocities.

The available evidence suggests that CHVCs have characteristic sizes of about 2 kpc, H I masses in the range $10^{5.5}$ to $10^7 M_{\odot}$, and are typically seen at distances of hundreds of kpc. If at such distances, the failure to detect stars would imply that CHVCs are very primitive proto-galactic objects dominated by dark-matter halos, plausibly the the missing Local Group satellite systems predicted by Klypin et al. (1999) and Moore et al. (1999).

References

- Bajaja E., Morras R., Pöppel W. G. L., 1987, Pub. Astr. Inst. Czech. Ac. Sci., 69, 237
 Barnes D. G., Staveley-Smith L., de Blok W. J. G., Oosterloo T., Stewart I. M., Wright A. E., Banks G. D., Bjathal R., et al., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 486
 Blitz L., 2001, in ASP Conf. Ser. 240, Gas and Galaxy Evolution, J. E. Hibbard, M. Rupen, & J. H. van Gorkom (eds.), p. 469
 Blitz L., Spergel D. N., Teuben P. J., Hartmann D., Burton W. B., 1999, ApJ, 514, 818
 Braun R., Burton W. B., 1999, A&A, 341, 437 (BB99)
 Braun R., Burton W. B., 2000, A&A, 354, 853
 Bregman J. N., 1980, ApJ, 236, 577
 Burton W. B., 1997, in The Physics of the Galactic Halo, eds. Lesch H., Dettmann R.-J., Mebold U., & Schlickeiser R. (Berlin: Akademie Verlag), 15
 Burton W. B., Braun R., Chengalur J. N., 2001, A&A, 369, 616 (erratum A&A, 375, 227)
 Burton W. B., Braun R., Walterbos R. A. M., Hoopes C. G., 1999, AJ, 117, 194
 Corbelli E., Salpeter E. E., 1993, ApJ, 419, 104
 de Heij V., Braun R., Burton W. B., 2002a, A&A, submitted (astro-ph/0201249)
 de Heij V., Braun R., Burton W. B., 2002b, A&A, submitted
 de Heij V., Braun R., Burton W. B., 2002c, A&A, in press
 Eichler D., 1976, ApJ, 208, 694
 Einasto J., Haud U., Jõeveer M., Kaasik A., 1976, MNRAS, 177, 357
 Giovanelli R., Verschuur G. L., Cram T. R., 1973, A&AS, 12, 209

- Giovanelli R., 1981, *AJ*, 86, 1468
- Hartmann D., Burton W. B., 1997, *Atlas of Galactic Neutral Hydrogen*, Cambridge University Press
- Hulsbosch A. N. M., 1978, *A&A*, 66, L5
- Hulsbosch A. N. M., Wakker B. P., 1988, *A&AS*, 75, 191
- Klypin, A., Kravtsov, A. V., Valenzuela, O., & Prada, F. 1999, *ApJ*, 522, 82
- Lockman F. J., Murphy E. M., Petty–Powell S., Urlick V. J., 2002, *ApJS*, in press (astro-ph/0201039)
- Maloney P., 1993, *ApJ*, 414, 41
- Mateo M., 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 435
- Moore, B., Ghigna, S., Governato, G., Lake, G., Quinn, T., Stadel, J., & Tozzi, P. 1999, *ApJ*, 524, L19
- Moore, B., Calcanéo–Roldan, C., Stadel, J., et al. 2001, *Phys. Rev. D.*, in press; astro-ph/0106271
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 493
- Oort J. H., 1926, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Groningen
- Oort J. H., 1966, *Bull. Astr. Inst. Netherlands*, 18, 421
- Oort J. H., 1970, *A&A*, 7, 381
- Oort J. H., 1981, *A&A*, 94, 359
- Putman, M. E., & Moore, B. 2002, in *Extragalactic Gas at Low Redshift*, ASP Conf. Series, eds. J. Mulchaey & J. Stocke, in press; astro-ph/0110417
- Putman M., de Heij V., Staveley–Smith L., Braun, R., Freeman K. C., Gibson B. K., Burton W. B., Barnes D. G., et al., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 873
- Shapiro P. R., Field G. B., 1976, *ApJ*, 205, 762
- Simon J. D., Blitz L., 2002, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/0204042)
- van Woerden H., Schwarz U. J., Peletier R. F., Wakker B. P., Kalberla P. M. W., 1999, *Nature*, 400, 138
- Verschuur G. L., 1969, *ApJ*, 156, 771
- Verschuur G. L., 1975, *ARA&A*, 13, 257
- Voskes T., Burton W. B., 1999, in: *ASP Conf. Ser. 168, New Perspectives on the Interstellar Medium*, A. R. Taylor, T. L. Landecker, & G. Joncas (eds.), p. 375
- Wakker B. P., 1990, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Groningen
- Wakker B. P., 2001, *ApJS*, 136, 463
- Wakker B. P., Schwarz U., 1991, *A&A*, 250, 484
- Wakker B. P., van Woerden H., 1991, *A&A*, 250, 509
- Wakker B. P., van Woerden H., 1997, *ARA&A*, 35, 217
- Wolfire M. G., Hollenbach D., McKee C. F., Bakes E. L. O., 1995, *ApJ*, 443, 152

This figure "fig1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig6.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig7.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>

This figure "fig8.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206359v1>